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Reviewer's report:

major compulsory revisions:

1: In the last paragraph of the findings section the authors mention specifically: that in contrast to other reports our results suggest no difference in ... mutation frequencies ......between patients with and without family history of the disease. This is an important message however the authors don't provide any data other than : We documented family history of disease.

Can the authors describe in more detail the family history data which support their findings e.g by providing a table.

*We described in more details the family history data, which support our findings.*

2: I miss a recommendation / conclusion whether or not to screen for the mutation in all Ukrainian breast cancer patients

*We added a recommendation about importance to screen for the mutation in all Ukrainian breast cancer patients.*

minor essential revisions

3: abstract: carriers instead of bearers.

*We changed “bearers” by “carriers”*

4: 5382insC , please mention once the HGVS nomenclature: c.5266dupC p.Gln1756fs

*We mentioned the HGVS nomenclature*

5: detection frequency: 4.66%, use 4.7% (same holds for other percentages). the overall numbers are small and do not justify such detailed percentages.

*We rounded the percentages*

6: last paragraph findings: replace 'in our result' by 'our results'

*We replaced 'in our results' by 'our results'*
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