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**Reviewer's report:**

Thank you for submitting this interesting manuscript, which I believe will be of interest to readers of this journal. Firstly, I wonder if 'Charcot foot,' rather than 'Charcot's,' may be a more readily recognised term among the international readership of this journal? I can see the potential for your tool, although I feel you could situate this a little more within the literature and current clinical practice. For example, you describe the Eichenholtz system (please review spelling on p. 6, line 19) without describing the modified version, inclusive of the incipient Stage 0 foot. The Delphi method employed seems entirely suitable, however, I would like to see further description of the expertise of the panel, particularly their years in specialist practice. Additionally, it would be helpful to know the questions asked throughout the Delphi process and I wonder if these could be included as a Table or Appendix? I was a little confused by the reported study population figures. Could you please revise the statement on p. 8, line 41 '23 feet (25 participants)' which is clarified later in your article. Finally, on p. 13, line 34, you refer to Figure 3 which I could not find. Many thanks.

**Level of interest**

Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

**Declaration of competing interests**

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal.