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Reviewer's report:

Many thanks for submitting an interesting and useful methods paper. The quality of the work conducted and the report is very good. I therefore only have minimal comment for author consideration:

Overall: the remit of the report sets out that this is intended to predominantly be a methods reporting paper for the development of survey research. I wonder if it would be of value therefore to further contextualise the anticipated role of the survey(s) produced? e.g. Is the intent for the survey to provide a robust method for future research and data collection or could it be used within a clinical setting? My concern is that with such a well reported method, the survey could be misinterpreted as a robustly created clinical survey for use in practice? It would add value to clarify this point either way.

Abstract: as above, a brief point of clarification about the intended remit of the survey would be of value.

Background: no comment - this is well written and provides good rationale and context (outwith previous comments).

Methods: please provide acknowledgement of ethics/governance that was secured to undertake this work.

Results: no comment - theses are clearly presented, with appropriate referencing back to previously reported work.

Discussion: The discord noted between patients and clinicians is noteworthy, and it may be of value to further highlight this point - however, this is at the authors discretion.

Conclusion: as per previous comment - please consider adding brief detail concerning the intended remit/future use of the survey.
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