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Reviewer's report:

The article does use the delphi method to build consensus about the best approach for condylectomy using an MIS approach. This is article would only be of limited interest to podiatrists. It may have more interest to podiatric surgeons. However the literature review does not clearly establish the prevalence of the condition or the frequency that this condition is treated surgically. Also there is no evidence presented about the effectiveness of surgical intervention for this condition. Therefore it is difficult to establish the importance or need of this study. It would need a more comprehensive literature review to establish whether there was a need for this study. It may be that there is a lack of research in this area. If that is the case then the outline of the literature search strategy would be required.

The method is thorough in describing the process of the delphi method but there are little or no references to underpin the approach used in this study. Therefore it makes it difficult to establish the external validity of this approach. It may need more references to support this approach.

These are the two areas that need revision and addition of information.
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