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Reviewer's report:

An interesting paper which identifies a gap in professional knowledge when assessing common foot lesions.

Line 27 and subsequently 121, 265: The author uses the term "keratin lesions" within the paper. There are many types of keratinous lesions beyond corns and callus, and so for clarity I would recommend changing this term to something that is specific to the subject matter to avoid confusion.

Line 55, 64, 67, 76: For accuracy, amend the word "papova" to "papilloma" which is the viral sub-group more specific to humans.

Line 90: For reader clarity, please define MTH at first use.

Line 132 refers to a paper [17] this appears to be an incomplete reference. Please can you verify?

Line 146: Please can you clarify this statement about the scientist being an "outlier" or remove?

Line 214: Insert the word "the" before "majority".

Line 232: Do you mean intra-rater reliability here?

Line 245: Table 5 is referred to but does not appear in the manuscript?
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