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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions
1. p13 First paragraph line 2; change the word 'papers" to studies.
2. p14 First paragraph line 8: "...complications by 3.5 fold." include the wording "compared to" those without dyslipidaemia,...
3. Table 4 the asterices are incorrect as noted under the table. ie ** should be * and vice versa.

Discretionary revisions
1. Table 2: adding to the title "based on the QHRFF" This is more of a clarification to make it easy for the reader, in particular in the discussion area.
2. It is not clear whether the QHRFF domain for the clinical diagnosis is made by what is already documented in the patient file or is it made by physical assessment by the podiatrist completing the form. If it is the latter what tests were undertaken to assess for "clinical diagnosis of high risk factors" particularly in reference to this study, how was neuropathy and PAD assessed? It may benefit to include the QHRFF as a Figure in the manuscript with some further detail of its use in the methodology which may answer this query.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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