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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript is about the prognosis and risk factors of intramural hematoma in acute aortic syndrome. The content is interesting and worth publishing. Nevertheless, there are some issues, which I would like to address:

1. English seems fair but needs to be improved by a native speaker before publication

2. "Patient" section: if you write about Type-B patients, then do not introduce Type-A and -B patients first and later exclude the Type-A patients: start with "…71 patients referred to our hospital für Type-B dissection…". Besides, as both types of dissection have very different surgical techniques and outcomes, it is very good to discard Type-A patients.

3. In the discussion section, there are too many abbreviations used which are explained much later. Please correct or explain it at first use.
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