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Reviewer's report:

First of all it is necessary to get a native English speaker or an excellent English writer to take care of the language, which at present is not acceptable. In addition I have a few comments. 1) From the CT coronary angio, it seems fairly obvious that a larger length of the RCA was involved in the process. It is clearly seen that the part of RCA before the aneurysm is markedly dilated. 2) Did the authors think that the aneurysm was a malignant tumor? Otherwise it may have been more logical to ligate the RCA above and below the aneurysm and perform a graft to the distal RCA. In any case these considerations should be discussed, because partial excision of the aneurysm would probably not be curative. Was the feeding vessel seen on CT ligated to prevent fistula formation? 3) The tricuspid excision and revision should be described in more detail. 4) Was there any tricuspid regurgitation on postoperative echo? Was there evidence of remaining aneurysm? 5) Did the authors evaluate the size of the left to right shunt caused by the presence of a fistula between RCA and RV. This can be expected to gradually enlarge since it is quite big and comes from an enlarged RCA. This may eventually cause ischemia as well. I think all these issues should be discussed in some more detail in the discussion so the readers get a more complete understanding of the case and the considerations taken by the surgeons. The picture of the tricuspid valve repair is not easy to evaluate, so if the authors have better pictures it may be helpful.
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