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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting review Shen et al. The authors have compared the effectiveness of ERAS protocol in thoracic surgery patients.

1. First of all, methodological qualities of all included studies should be described. It seems majority of the studies have poor methodological qualities

2. There are lot of missing data and therefore, it becomes difficult to draw a reasonable conclusion

3. Total fluid intake, blood loss and urine output quantification are important and studies without these data especially using ERAS protocol cannot be reliable. Duration of surgery is also not available in several studies. Bladder distension should have been noted and patient discomfort and hemodynamic fluctuation associated with bladder distension should also have reported for comparison.

4. Both the table need modification and data in both the groups should have been compared using number (percentage).
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