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Reviewer's report:

Thanks for your article, but I have the following observations and queries:
1. The 'Title' states as if it is the summary of a single systemic pulmonary shunt.
2. The use of term 'secondary' used here seems inappropriate, 'redo surgery' or 'repeated surgery's or even 'second surgery' would be preferred terminology.
3. Definitive total intracardiac repair is the established standard mode of current surgical treatment for many of the cases described here. There is no explanation why palliative surgery was offered and then why so many redo palliative procedure.
4. There are contradictory statements regarding original shunt and positioning of new shunt (Page 5 sc 50-54 & pg 6 sc 31-37).
5. There are several typing errors especially missing spaces between words.
6. Various inferences and conclusions have been drawn with out statistical evidence.
7. A big chunk of the article has been unnecessarily spent describing routine safety precautions common for all operations.
8. I don't know about any 'Septal nerve' in chest (pg 12). DOid you mean 'Phrenic nerve'?
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