Reviewer’s report

Title: Clinical analysis of surgery for type III esophageal atresia via thoracoscopy: a study of a Chinese single-center experience

Version: 0 Date: 16 Feb 2020

Reviewer: Clauden Louis

Reviewer's report:

The author provides a single-center clinical analysis of type III esophageal atresia with thoracoscopy as therapeutic method for surgical technique. The authors are to be commended for their great work operating on these young patients. The technical considerations regarding surgery is one that requires considerable learning curve. The manuscript can more clearly speak to the learning curve and pitfalls of performing this procedure timing of improved represent As one consider these procedures to be considered in varied practices. This manuscript contains few rare syntax errors that should be edited (see last paragraph regarding comma spacing). Before widespread application of this technique, having performing this procedure with decreased time compared to that of open surgery leads one to believe that their is no longer a learning curve. How many surgeons were involved, when did this center start thorascopic surgery on similar cohorts?
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