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Reviewer's report:

This is a useful review of reoperative AVR. The English is good. The series is small as it is a single center experience but this can be turned into an advantage by providing increasing granularity not available to large databases. I would therefore invite the authors to report the diameter in millimeters of the valves implanted (and the manufacturers quoted sizes), the annular diameter in mm by preoperative echocardiography and the makes and models of the valves inserted. I would also like the authors to comment why the incidence of ppm is so high and to discuss possibilities for dealing with this such as annular enlargement, it appears there were no patients who underwent annular enlargement which I find puzzling given the large number of patients with ppm.
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