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Reviewer's report:

A useful series of patients undergoing hybrid surgical/device closure of pmVSD with good results. The English needs revision throughout, a few specific points

P3L27 change "excluded" to "included"

P3L46 "suggested" to "suggests"

P4 L49,51 change "However by document retrial we didn't..." to "Literature search was unable to..."

P9L26 "condensation" is incorrectly used here I have a vague idea of the authors point but they need to have another go at this sentence also annulus not annul

P9L35 document retrial again

I would also like to see a brief description of the operative technique and a more detailed comparison of the three possible techniques i.e. surgical with CPB, surgical with device and trans-cats device with risks and benefits (included percentages for CHB/ppm, brain damage and death, length of stay, cost) this could be made into a table.

I believe with these adjustments the paper would be of interest to the journal's readership.
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