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- Maze procedure should be performed in both atrium in all patients with atrial fibrillation. (in many Cox reports). However, in the mi-Maze group, left atrial Maze was performed.

- Too small number cannot produce significant difference in comparison.

- Absence of circumferential ablation at coronary sinus is another disadvantage of radiofrequency electrodes.
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