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Reviewer's report:

Interesting study. Plz respond to the following:
1. Pg3: plz add Study period and place in the Abstract section.
2. Pg5: Sc 34-39 : response after surgery is a complex procedure and depends upon many factors other than delayed emergence from anesthesia, so plz reconsider.
3. Pg9 Sc 28: What is the P value of rcSO2 here?
4. Pg9-10: " Two cases of ........... after surgery " : plz specify in which group these findings are found.
5. Pg10 : What do you mean by 'observing an ascending trend of mortality' when statistical test found no difference?
6. Pg10: any explanation why PCC+ group spent less money? Was the spending was calculated and matched following scientific principles?
7. Pg11: Plz add a little analysis of survival findings between 2 groups.
8. Pg11-12: plz. Reconsider the comment 'this is due to longer duration of post-op intubation'.
9. Pg12 sc39- Pg13 sc12: these factors are true for both the groups, but why they are considered fro PCC+ group only?
10. Pg 13 sc 9-12: contradictory statement. In pg 10, you stated PCC+ group spent less money, here you are saying more, plz correct either.
11. Pg15 sc9 'novel'??
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