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Reviewer's report:

The authors present a study on the influence of postoperative cerebral dysfunction. For me there is lot of information lacking in the manuscript that is required to make a valid conclusion. First of all the authors have excluded more than a third of patients that underwent surgery for a type A dissection in their institution during the study period. As one of the exclusion criteria was lost to follow up it is nearly impossible to make a valid conclusion on the data that the authors present. As lost to follow up is one of the exclusion criteria a Kaplan Meier analysis makes also no sense Additionally the authors do not give any information on the involvement of the supraaortic branches in the dissection which also might heavily influence neurologic outcome
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