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Reviewer's report:

I would like to thank the authors for presenting this case report titled "A large left atrial cavernous hemangioma"

It is a successfully managed rare case. I have some few comments:

1. Page 3 line 12 " Histologically, cardiac hemangiomas can be classified under three categories: capillary, cavernous, and arteriovenous. the authors did not mention a reference for this classification.

2. Page 3 line 24; the patient is 56 years female a coronary angiography should be done before surgery to exclude coronary artery disease in this age.

2. some phrases that is not fully understood because of their language construction for instance: In this case we successful conduct the surgery (page 3 line 13), left atrial (page 3 line 12, 26, 29.....), And while computed tomography (page 3 line 29), and also nor obvious damage in the coronary artery or obvious abnormality in the heartbeat, (page 3 line 41,42).

3. Page 4, line 18,19; the patient was observed to have a short ST-segment elevation, though coronary artery bypass (CABG) grafting was not performed during the operation. is there any investigations done to exclude acute myocardial infarction? especially no reported coronary angio done before surgery.
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