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Major comments:

1. This manuscript needs a complete editing for scientific presentation with a background, methods, results, and discussion sequence.

2. The approval from the Ethics Committee was not shown in this study, as well as the patients' inclusion criteria. I do not think it is acceptable.

3. Please show the demographic and surgical data(not only operation time) as well as the anesthesia data.

4. The results did not support the authors' conclusion. In PVB group, more additional analgesics were needed.

5. A table listing the common adverse effects such as nausea/ vomiting………….should be included in all the investigations on postoperative analgesia.
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