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**Reviewer's report:**

The authors show their experience about Unilateral single-port thoracoscopic surgery with spontaneous breathing during general Anesthesia for the treatment of simultaneous bilateral primary spontaneous pneumothorax or pulmonary bullae. This article has several important deficiencies. First, authors do not specify which is the main objective of their manuscript. This objective should be clearly written at the beginning of the manuscript. Methodology is poorly developed and need several modifications. More details must be included in the text. Although the authors have demonstrated that this technique is feasible (sample size 18 patients), they should consider other type of more interesting statistical method to demonstrate whether this technique is really necessary and whether this approach has some benefits in comparison with a conventional bilateral approach. Probably a prospective randomized controlled study could answer this question. In my humble opinion, I think that this article needs mejor changes and modifications before considering any publication. I hope this considerations help the authors to improve the quality of the manuscript making it more interesting.
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