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Reviewer’s report:

I congratulate the author for managing the patients and writing his experience in this series of 49 patients.

Overall, the manuscript is informative, interesting and adds to the existing literature on the issue of tracheal malformations. However, there are minor issues and suggest the following comments for making it better.

1. There is repetition of words or facts in many places, for example the word "Same surgeon" and "some"...

2. The data collection section indicate -Postoperative outcomes. Can it be elaborated? what are those outcomes (parameters)?

3. Mortality section is confusing and reader can not understands it clearly. The abstract shows 4 patients died. It is unclear in the results (Mortality section). Needs revision.

4. The conclusion section is a repeat of texts from the other sections. It can be reduced to 2 lines that in author's experience slide tracheoplasty in addition to the vascular/cardiac supportive procedures is effective for similar patients and has been proved to be associated with good outcomes..

5. Minor typographical, language errors but insignificant.

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
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Needs some language corrections before being published
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