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Reviewer’s report:

1. This is an interesting article, but a few minor points might require correction or modification.

2. You may consider rephrasing the title of the article.

3. This line in page 5 line 19 may require rephrasing: 'In sutureless group, PVS was assessed 0.2±0.5 in two groups, showing no statistic differences.'

4. This line in page 7 line 33-34 may require rephrasing: 'However, we found no significant CPB or aortic cross-clamp time, and…'. Is the word 'difference' to be added after significant (i.e. 'significant difference')?

5. In Page 7 line 59 & page 8 line 1: '..... in their SL group relative to their SR group ......'. The elaboration of 'SL' & 'SR' are to be included in the list of abbreviation.

6. In page 8, the last line of the 'Conclusion' should be corrected or rephrased, particularly the word 'supposed'. ('Post-PVO supposed to be the main reason for postoperative mortality.')
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