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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors

First of all, I would like to thank you for sharing with us these very interesting data

Second of all, I would like to discuss some minor suggestions,

If authors agree I suggest keeping only the RCT, rather than RTC and OS.

Concerning reduction of the risk of prolonged air leak: It would be very interesting to discuss better how digital chest can reduce the risk of prolonged air leak. In the article, it is discussed that this system can regulate suction pressure. Do authors really believe that it is the only explanation?

In the discussion chapter authors say that one of the advantages is the portability, but with traditional underwater seal, it is very easy to mobilize the patient.

Finally, I would like to thank authors again for sharing with us this very interesting data,

Best regards

Sincerely yours truly
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