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Reviewer's report:

1. The authors have reviewed very well a rare lethal complication of mostly motor vehicle accidents. This article will help raise awareness, necessary because time may be of the essence for this enigmatic condition.

2. There seem to be quite a few acronyms. This reviewer feels that the authors should remove any acronyms when they are not needed more than 2-3 times. Reading this manuscript is a bit like reading 'code', especially when the acronyms are so similar but mean different things (eg. BCT and BCR).

3. On page 8 on line 19 'LOS' should be defined ('Length of Stay')- this reviewer believes that it is not enough to have this acronym explained in Table 2.

4. 'CTD' is not a handy or logical short form for cardiac tamponade, this reviewer suggest the authors remove this acronym.

5. On Page 11, in Line 11, the authors write: 'We observed...'. This word is most commonly used for observation of your own study, not in reviews of literature. Possibly it would be better said as: 'We found in our review that...'
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