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Reviewer’s report:

Dear Zhibing Qiu, Congratulations for your work. I would like to add a few comments: 1) You say that MIMVS is the standard approach for your group, but since 2011 only 25% of the cases were done by this approach. 2) In the surgery technique, which cannula do you use in the ascending aorta? Maybe you could describe better this cannulation or add a picture of the cannula in place. 3) How was the incidence of rheumatic and degenerative valve disease? How many did you repair? 4) In the follow-up, you describe only one re-operation, but on table four you showed three deaths in each of the groups. 5) There are several mistakes in your tables, especially on the "p" values that you should address. I was an honor to review this paper.
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