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Reviewer’s report:

I read carefully but also with great interest the article by Dr. Yamasaki and colleagues from the Japanese Red Cross hospital in Kyoto as the subject matter is relevant to some of our previously published work and therefore I was interested to see how the techniques have evolved over time.

Let me begin by mentioning that the manuscript needs some minor English editing because obviously English is not the native language of the authors!!! Nevertheless, I was able to understand the work adequately and it did flow with relative ease.

In this short case report - case series the authors present their experience with the double patch repair technique for post infarction VSD modifying it slightly by infarct exclusion.

The report is interesting, well presented and substantiated, the images are descriptive and the literature review is well performed. Therefore, I would be happy to suggest this work for publication following minor English corrections.
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We have published similar work in the subject which has been cited in the manuscript. I presume this was the reason I was selected to act as a reviewer for this work as an expert in a limited scope field!!!
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