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Reviewer's report:

Congratulations on your good results and thank you for your submission to JCTS.

I think the point of this case report is that GGN (pathologically invasive adenoca.) caused DM by the paraneoplastic effect.

The fact that GGN can cause paraneoplastic syndrome is an important finding that is well worth to report as a case report.

I hope that only three points will be more clearly described.

1. Is it correct to consider this lesion as a GGN? Findings from chest CT and pathologic report (lepidic 5%, N1) are not common in ground glass nodules. If you think this lesion is a GGN, please mention the ratio of the solid portion in chest CT and pathology. As you know, the solid portion of GGN is always important factor to define the characteristics of a GGN.

2. Was this lesion not shown visceral pleural invasion? The invasive ADC seems to be adhered extensively to the fissure, in this case pathologic staging is T2a.

3. This journal does not limit the number of references in the case report, but 95 references are considered excessive. Could you organize and reduce the number of references?

Thank you and congratulate on your good results.
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