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Reviewer's report:
I read with interest the manuscript entitled "Reoperation for a Giant Arch Anastomotic Pseudoaneurysm Eleven Years after Total Arch Replacement with Island Reconstruction" by Ryohei Matsuura and colleagues from Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Fukui Cardiovascular Center, Japan.
The Authors reported a case of huge pseudoaneurysm after arch surgery for Type A Acute aortic dissection.
Congratulations for the figures, very clear!

There are some elements of concern that I will list below.

Major:
1. The paper is very long (it's a case report!)
2. The clinical presentation presents futile details for the clinical case
3. The paragraph on surgical treatment reads badly, it is redundant and little incisive
4. The aortic valve is not described, it is unclear why it has been replaced rather than preserved (David's operation).

Minor:
1. Page 6 line 10: "while gradually increasing the rectal temperature to 32 °C", there is an error (increasing).
2. English can be improved
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