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Reviewer’s report:

INTERMACS 1 profile patients offer an extremely difficult group of patients mandating some tough decision making and management options. In that respect, the case report offers an interesting case of managing a patient using extracorporeal short term VAD as bridge to decision/recovery. Unfortunately final outcome, as is often the case, was complicated by ischaemic cerebral event.

1) The language in the article needs to be closely looked at since there are numerous spelling mistakes.

2) The authors should clarify the reasons to go down the short term versus long term VAD option and what was the right heart function?

3) If there was a need to go down short term route as bridge to decision/recovery, when is the ideal time to intervene and escalate to next level?

4) Authors have stated that there was hyperperfusion of right carotid system and final outcome involves thrombotic event affecting the right carotid system. How can they be confident that these two are not related?
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