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Reviewer's report:

The Report on wall wrapping of the ascending Aorta is based on a single Center experience.

If so, the documentation of the methods and results is crucial.

In the introduction, one sentence should deal with the history of the technique well known since many years.

The description of the methods is too short and very superficial. More Information is needed. How did the authors measured the aortic diameter before, during and after the surgery.

The results are not sufficient in relation to the data needed. What were Diameters before and after the procedure? CT data, echo data, MRI?

What were the results after the follow-up? Did the authors controlled the results by echo, Ct or MRI?

Using a follow up time of one and a half year is a short and not mid term follow up which is needed in aortic patients anyway.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

no conflict of interest