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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

Are the methods appropriate and well described, and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work?

I find the methods are well described but not well presented. I suggest the authors to improve the paper presentation with more pre op demographic, intraoperative and postoperative data organized in tables,

As well as the schematic description of the wrapping procedure to be a more professional.

No statistical methods used mentioned in the manuscript.

There is not sufficient reference to the technical issues of applying the wrapping materials such as:

Was the procedure performed on bypass or off bypass?,
How did the aorta was dissected from the tissues around it?.
Are there any pitfalls and recommendation to how to do this very gentle dissection?.
What are the anatomical borders to this dissection?
where there any complications during applying the wrap ?

Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?

It is very dull discussion that refers mostly to the data of this manuscript without discussing the subject in general and with relating to the many studies that previously deal with this matter.
i would expect the authors to discuss with more details the isolated wrapping cases the right timing for the procedure etc..

Minor Essential Revisions

The manuscript is written in a basic language and I would suggest that the authors will be assist by an English speaking editor to enrich the language of the manuscript.
Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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