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Author’s response to reviews:

Reviewer reports:

Reviewer #1: It is suggested to analysis female subjects only.

Modification was made. Apologize. 43 non-consecutive female patients were included in the manuscript.

The authors’ response on language translation of BrQ should be put into the manuscript.

Introduced into the manuscript. Thank you.
Reviewer #4: Thanks for the corrections that improve the quality of the text.

Sorry if I misspoke. The problem is that each item has 5 levels of answers from the patient and the presentation of the results is binary: Psychology 19% unaffected and 81% affected. But we do not know if they are affected "always", "most of the time", "sometimes", "almost never". If the questionnaire was binary "yes / no" the percentage could be different.

Thank you. It is true that not being binary makes it more difficult to understand and harder to put them into words… but to easy the interpretation of results… considering 43 patients, questionnaire of 34 questions… 5 options each gives you unlimited data… we considered and apologize because it was not properly stated before, as “somehow” affected all the "always/most of the times/sometimes/almost never" answers and the “not affected”… “never”. Good point. Introduced into the manuscript.

We do hope you find this manuscript and all the revision suitable for your distinguished Journal.