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Reviewer's report:

Manuscript Title: The title is accurate and appropriate to the content of the paper

Abstract:

- What is meant by chronological order as stated in the abstract?

- In the abstract and intro, the listing of the schools of thought do not match the order in which they are presented in the actual body of the paper.

- What keywords will be associated with this paper?

Tables and figures:

- Images support the manuscript to bring "life" to the descriptions of the exercise positions as well as indicate effectiveness of various methods through radiological changes over time.

Organization:

- See comment above in abstract for suggestion to match the order in which the schools are listed in the abstract/intro with the order presented in the body of the paper
Overall:

- The paper fulfilled its stated purpose, which was to present an overview of the schools without comparisons made between schools in terms of effectiveness or superiority. The audience is given a broad overview from which to glean a general perspective about the current state of PSSE around the world. The breakdown of each school is orderly and consistent and gives the audience a fairly comprehensive look at the overall scope of each school.

I recommend minor essential revisions in the area of grammar as well as ordering the schools presented in a way that is consistent from the abstract to the introduction and to the body of the paper.

Level of interest

Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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