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Reviewer's report:

This is an important article to provide the readers with greater insight into the non-surgical management of idiopathic scoliosis. The title is clear but do you need world wide? Abstract - should they be presented in chronological order or by order of common foundation (ex: Schroth and BSPTS should follow each other). Whatever order you select, order in abstract should be identical to order in manuscript.

Many of the terms and phrases used throughout the manuscript should be corrected.

If the audience is professionals, not patients or lay person, then do you really need many of the photographs? If this is an article, not a book, the number of photographs should be reduced and used to supplement text that is difficult to visualize. When using images with pre and post x-rays, these should be included if they are supported by peer reviewed articles in each Scientific Evidence section of the manuscript. I believe one example per "school" is adequate.

Other specifics: In each School - the section of scientific evidence is extremely important to justify the reader taking it seriously.

Lyon School - is there no scientific evidence?

FITS - be specific in the scientific evidence section - what were the findings, not just what did they investigate.

Overall - very good information to provide to the community.
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