Reviewer’s report

Title: Identifying relevant concepts and factors for the sustainability of evidence-based practices within acute care contexts: A systematic review and theory analysis of selected sustainability frameworks.

Version: 1 Date: 03 Sep 2019

Reviewer: Clayton John Shuman

Reviewer's report:

Thank you for addressing each of my comments. The Walker and Avant theory analysis is an interesting approach to evaluate multiple theories and further develop constructs. This method requires a significant amount of effort. I commend the authors for tackling this work. Sustainability of EBP in acute care (or virtually any setting) is an important issue relevant to implementation science. The results spurred numerous questions related to the advancement of sustainability and implementation science. In other papers, the sheer number of lingering questions may be of concern. However, I believe this is a strength of this paper - highlighting major areas requiring more intensive, directed research to better understand sustainability, and its relation to implementation.
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