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Author’s response to reviews:

Editors' comment

Thank you for your submission, which is in scope, relevant, interesting and well done. However, we require that results of the primary outcomes evaluations are summarized so that the findings of process evaluations, such as this one, can be better interpreted. Could you please include those in the introduction section of the manuscript?

Alternatively, you may withdraw the submission for now and resubmit later, after you have published the primary outcomes evaluation.

Of course you can also decide submit elsewhere, in which case we can offer transfer to a different journal of the same publisher.
Response

Thank you very much for your kind evaluation and concerns. In the revised version of the manuscript I have added some information describing the result of TIPS main outcome manuscript. Please check page 6 line 154-157 in the clear version of the revised manuscript.