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Reviewer’s report:

This is fine.

One minor point change

Page 17: You need to add references for this sentence: 'For example, we identified several qualitative reviews investigating barriers to implementing guidelines that identified between 3 to 9 TDF domains as determinants of the target behaviour'.

One minor comment - tied to you conccptualise barriers and facilitators work - you note in reference to Reviewer one that - 'we have removed reference to facilitators because the primary focus of our study was on barriers. We removed reference to facilitators in the background section on page 3, lines 5 and 7.' - Be careful here, this assumes barriers are 'always' barriers, in that in some context an issue is a facilitator in others, it may be a barrier, hence the fashion to refer to 'determinents' to manage this issues
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