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Reviewer's report:

In general

I find the paper both interesting and contributive. However before making the final decision I think the editor should consider the level of engagement with existing literature implementation of health care frameworks.

Some proofreading is necessary

Apart from the below statements I think the paper presents a thorough description of the field of study, theoretical background, methodological approach, analytical results and discussion.

Abstract:

partially mixed sequential dominant status mixed methods design = semistructured interviews with purposefull sampling?

Does it make sense to talk about percentages when N is so low? 12 persons, it does not in my opinion contribute to anything but politicize the results. In my opinion we should be careful not to use frameworks to bypass normal standards for good scientific practice.

Introduction:

There is a good interaction with literature on implementation science and its impacts on health research and implementation of health strategies.

I would like even more specific details on the number of people suffering from health disparities and the outcome of this on their health statistics compared to people not suffering this social difference. This would further underline the importance of handling this important issue.

Integrating the two frameworks:

A great effort is spent on explaining the benefits of applying the integrated i-PARIHS and Health Care Disparities Framework. I would however appreciate if you could specify some of the exact
differences from other frameworks. So that it becomes exactly clear what this integration of
concepts adds.
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