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Overall:

Frequent use of 'IPO's' when the plural is needed rather than the possessive. (Plural is IPOs. Possessive is IPO's.) Please fix. Ditto EBIs.

Could use some close editing for clarity, and some typos (eg twart).

Results and Discussion are much improved from first version.

Abstract:

'... describe features of IPOs for US ..' unclear what is meant here - do you mean IPOs that address child health? How else are the features 'for' child health? - This sentence is confusing and needs to be clarified.

Background:

Overall the text leading up to the last para could be condensed and clarified. The last para is very clear.

Line 105 - do you mean the mechanism used to bring treatment discoveries?

Line 105- can you give an example here?.

Line 107 - why is it a problem that developers form these organizations? Is that a contributor to low delivery of EBIs? Why?

Not sure the para that starts at line 131 is needed - or, be more clear as to why these definitional issues contribute to the lack of info on how IPOs do what they do.

Line 146 - is this speculated or known?
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