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Reviewer’s report:

The additional examples provide a clearer understanding of how to use the SAFE measures to guide implementation decisions in different treatment settings and this is a significant improvement to the manuscript. The example about how to interpret the profiles is particularly helpful. It is still puzzling that the SAFE measure suggested comparable costs for pharmacologic and psychosocial interventions (and I wonder if this contradictory finding should lead us to question the validity of SAFE ratings) but the authors’ comment on page 11 that there was limited data available about cost for many interventions is convincing and I agree with the authors that commenting on this may be beyond the scope of this manuscript.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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