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**Reviewer's report:**

I believe that the manuscript is in a much better shape. The authors have reasonably-well responded to the reviewers' comments. The only weakness is related to the availability of examples. The manuscript draws examples from their previous work on dementia only. Any other examples? If none, the authors should state that.

**Level of interest:** An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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