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Reviewer’s report:

Dear editor,

The authors have responded now (both to the first and second second review) extensively and the adjustments made improved the manuscript considerably. My main concern regarding the reporting, data collection and reporting of the results has been clarified. The results and discussion section are more in balance scientifically and the readability for the readers not familiar with oral care is more appropriate.

I would suggest
some minor essential revisions that should be made:

ABSTRACT:
lines 15-20:
The description of the objective would be more appropriate if the (Q1), (Q2) and (Q3) will be eliminated and the objective is described in one nice formulated sentence.

METHODS
Development af analytic dataset:
sentence 290:
a minor flaw in the counting of numbers 103,232 classified diagnostic codes should be 103,235.

RESULTS
sentence 324:
95% CI should be 78.6%, 80.4% instead of 95% CI 48.6%, 80.4%.

with kind regards

Dirk Mettes

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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