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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors new and well defined?
   Yes

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described, and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work?
   The methods are very detailed and could be replicated if organisations had sufficient resources some consideration was given to the use of electronic meetings

3. Are the data sound and well controlled?
   The information collection is the discussions held and the results of the focus groups, no discussion how the data was managed and stored. No discussion that individuals would be identifiable. A comment could be added regarding the procedures that are common to the running of focus groups were followed .....if there were.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? Not explicitly stated,

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?

6. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   The abstract summarises the process taken to develop this methodology

7. Is the writing acceptable? The paper is well written

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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