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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have done a commendable job in terms of revising the manuscript since its original submission. All pertinent technical and statistical queries raised have been attempted to address with adequate responses.

The study being in vitro in nature, it is important to compare and contrast (in few sentences) about the short comings of laboratory study, such as; extrapolation of study findings to clinical settings. Also, it is of paramount importance to state the fact that 3D intraoral scanners are making steadfast progress, and are on the verge of becoming an indispensable tool for a better reproduction of details. Please do discuss on how manual (impression making) and automated methods would playout in the near future.

The manuscript doesn't read very well. Typographical and grammatical errors, at places, make the script difficult to comprehend. Below are some of the aforementioned errors;

Page 11, line 14 change from 'of implants' to 'of implant'

Page 11, line 19-26 'In the present study, to better simulate the clinical conditions, maxillary models with anatomical undercuts were used and implants were inclined labially in the anterior region and distally in the posterior region and thereby, angulation differences created among impression copings. This is a complex sentence, please rephrase.

Page 13, line 34-36 change from 'did not influence' to 'were not influenced by'

I would advise the investigators to consult an English language expert.

Overall recommendation; minor revision.
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