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Reviewer's report:

Improvements have been made, especially in the dental treatment/management section.

However, some modifications are recommended.

Response to the answers of the authors:
1. OK.
2. Still, usage of acronyms as used for the first time. Examples, PEG, AAPD, and many others.
3. Consider reordering the text accordingly. Not clear enough the process of diagnosis
4. Considerations In dental treatment section.

- Any material or medication used should be detailed. Example:
  5% sodium fluoride varnish (what fluoride varnish was applied?)

- When was the second visit performed?
  "Application was performed according to the institution" What institution?
  The patient was considered as a high-risk patient due to "demographic" criteria, due to her condition or both?

- Management of high-risk patients only include oral hygiene education and one fluorizion?

- "Dental follow-ups at 6-monthly intervals was arranged" Does this period of follow-up corresponds to any current guideline? Taking into account the patient risk of caries or due to its condition. And what is planned to be done in the 6-month control/s?

5. Ok
6. Regarding "Oral health professionals treating children with CS syndrome should be mindful of the several systemic abnormalities associated with the condition as well as other potential challenges, which may influence dental care. " Please clarify or citation of what potential challenges that may influence dental care.
7. Ok
8. Ok, Consider to clarify this point in the manuscript.
9. How was bruxism diagnosed? Was only due to parent referral of clenching and/or grinding? This is a can be a common and temporary feature in kids. Sometimes associated with external features that should also be addressed. Description and diagnosis of this point are key to know if the patient requires any treatment or if it's only a temporary feature.
10. Just a recommendation, Journal policy will address this point.
11. Ok
12. Recommendation: Consider to resume this point.
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