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Review: Primary Failure of Eruption: A Systematic Review

The manuscript "Primary Failure of Eruption: A Systematic Review" provides a promising metaanalysis about the rare but important field of eruption failure. All orthodontists and oral- and maxillofacial surgeon has to treat those patients sometimes. Systematic reviews offered in literature are rare.

Originality

The review article is sufficiently novel, important and also interesting. An extensive present literature search in this field showed no other current metaanalysis with the same scope.

Structure

All in all, the review article is clearly laid out. All key elements are present. The title exactly describes the content of the article.

Introduction

The introduction describes accurately, what the authors tried to achieve. The introduction is relatively long, but the topic is complex and the information given in the introduction summarizes the relevant clinical experience up to now rather well.

Results

The described results support the claims of the discussion part rather good. The last section is relatively long, but interesting and contains most current aspects of basic information and treatment options. In the last section of the review I saw some minor language problems that should be reviewed by a native speaker prior to publication.

Tables
The tables complete the required information from the text.

References

The reference list is up to date and covers a long time frame.

After minor language revisions the manuscript can be accepted for publication.
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