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**Reviewer’s report:**

I thank the Editor for the possibility of reviewing the present manuscript.

Below, I report my observations:

**Abstract:** page 2, line 35: revise "experimental sid"

**Key words:** MeSH terms must be used, please correct.


**Introduction** page 5, line 42: the typing error must be deleted.

**Material and methods** page 6, line 39-50: the post-surgical medical therapy is confusionally described; must be rewritten.

**Page 7, line 4:** surgical scalpel blade N. 15.

It is unclear how the measurements on plaster models have been performed: the method must be described. What is the reference of Ziegler and Ingervall?

**Statistical Analysis:** must be rewritten. In particular the method error should be better described (reproducibility and reliability).
Results: page 9 line 4 (1 patient in each group).

Discussion:

Page 11 lines 43-58, page 12 lines 20-35: must be eliminated because the study of Leethanakul is not comparable.

The figures 1, 3, 5 must be removed.

The reference 6 is non-existent; in the reference 11 there is a typing error.
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