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Reviewer’s report:

Minor essential revision:
There are STILL a lot of spelling mistakes. It, seems my comments were neglected.

In the abstract i pointed out that the word CHANCES is probably wrong and should be CHANGES.

In material and method:
difficulties shoud be DIFFICULTIES

Figure 1 and figure 2 were changed only in the text, but it needs to be changed in the figure.

Regarding the statistical analysis, congratulations for making the corrections. It's important to point out in the methodology how evolution of swelling was calculated as proposed by as Alcantara et al., 2012, authors should write: The evolution of swelling was evaluated by subtracting the value obtained at each postoperative period) by the obtained at baseline (Alcantara et a., 2012).

In DISCUSSION:
It was not corrected DEFENCE should be replaced by DEFENSE.
SIGNALLING by SIGNALING
IMMUNO by IMMUNE

The sentence: They showed that PRF can use the treatment of intrabony defects of chronic periodontitis patients,
SHOULD BE CORRECTED TO:
They showed that PRF can BE USED IN the treatment of intrabony defects of chronic periodontitis patients

Data are not enought for pointing out that PRF MIGHT be used on a routine basis after third molar extraction surgery in conclusion.

if authors keep the sentence change MIGH by COULD BE USED FOR CONTROL SWELLING, instead of MIGHT BE USED AS A ROUTINE BASIS.
Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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