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Reviewer's report:

I think the manuscript besides a few minor listed details - which need to be addressed - is fine.

Abstract:
Still the number of digits in the presented figures are not consistent.
Line 6 57.14% should be 57.1%
Line 2 0.51mm ...the issue is the precision of measurements in relation to the calculated figures; In my book, in this context one digit e.g. 0.1mm make sense...but any change here needs to be followed up with changes in the text and Tables. It is up to the editor to decide.
In Table 1 the presentation of the figures is not consistent....again too many digits ....currently up to three ....see example below and my proposed change
Females Mean age Sd Min Max Median
Proposal 16.7 2.19 13.4 21.0 16.4
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