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Reviewer's report:

Overall, good paper. Please consider addressing the given below points for the further process.

Abstract:
- Methods:
  o Please specify the analytical approach that you used for the analysis of the results. It will also be good to discuss about the ethnographic approach.
  o Good to specify number of participants who participated in the workshop.
- Results:
  o The major themes are not the same as specified under the main text. There is not a clear distinction of themes and sub-themes, which makes it difficult for the readers to comprehend.
  o Consider expanding the results section as opposed to conclusion. In the conclusion section, you could simply state a summary of everything in a sentence or two.
- Conclusion:
  o What do you mean by “feelings of ownership”?
  o Any results from the observation?
  o Recommendation might not be possible, only based on this ethnographic study. There may be a need of further study to strengthen the findings and inform policy makers.

Plain English Summary:
- Page 4, Line 71-72: This has not been discussed under the abstract.

Background:
- May require editing for grammar and paraphrasing of sentences.
- Page 4-5, Line 91-93: Too many use of "this", better to state it. Need to paraphrase this sentence. There is no need for separate introduction and background section.
- Page 8, Line 165-170: Not sure if all these explanations are required under the background section. It is unclear, why this study was required. May need to strengthen the rationale section.

Methods:
- Consider discussing about the approach used, analytical methods.
- Settings and participants: Discuss about the age group of participants in this section.
- Page 9, Line 199: Earlier it was mentioned that the observation was for 1 year; however, you mention conducting filed work in the following 2 years.

Results:
- The results of the study are very interesting; however, need proper categorization of major themes and sub-themes that makes it clearer to understand.
- Themes presented in the results section are different than that of abstract.
- Names listed in the quotations: Are they changed names? Do not use the real name of the participant. You could just specify the age group of women rather than stating their name.
- Consider presenting Table 1, with the demographics of study participants.

Discussions:
- Would suggest discussing the main findings by putting it into context (interpret your findings and compare findings with other studies, whenever applicable).

In order to make your discussion section engaging, you should include the following information:
- The major findings of your study
- The meaning of those findings
- How these findings relate to what others have done
- Limitations of your findings
- An explanation for any surprising, unexpected, or inconclusive results
- Suggestions for further research

Conclusion:
- This section needs further development: synthesis of key points rather than summary of points.
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