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Reviewer's report:

The article analysed the quality of websites covering LARC. This is an important aspect to be studied, especially because the internet coverage and access is constantly increasing, and also the number of persons seeking for health-related information using this platform. These are some points that should be reviewed and considered in order to increase the quality of the manuscript:

1. The abbreviation JAMA stands for Journal of the American Medical Association, please review.
2. The browser used to perform searches should be mentioned.
3. A very important aspect is whether the browser was set as private or not to perform Google searches. Using the browser without the private solution it is possible that cookies were stored into the browser and, based on these cookies and the browsing history, the search results were tailored to the profile of the user. Doing so, only the relevant websites to that user were returned and the search results could be biased.
4. The finding that government websites were the lowest regarding reliability scores should be explored in the Discussion section.
5. In the Discussion section, manuscript results should be compared and interpreted in light of other studies analysing the quality of websites. If no related studies on LARC or family planning are found, please consider studies focused on other health-related subjects.
6. The main conclusion of the authors was that most of the websites presented low quality. However, some of the websites presented good quality. Which kind of information should be assessed by laypersons in order to identify a low or good quality LARC website? Are there any elements that could be easily assessed?
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